## **Community resources**

Follow us on Twitter Check our Reddit Twitter this Digg this page Contact us on IRC

courage is contagious

## Viewing cable 08ANKARA265, TURKEY SHARES USG CONCERNS ABOUT OSLO PROCESS

If you are new to these pages, please read an introduction on the <u>structure of a cable</u> as well as how to <u>discuss them</u> with others. See also the <u>FAQs</u>

## **Understanding cables**

Every cable message consists of three parts:

- The top box shows each cables unique reference number, when and by whom it originally was sent, and what its initial classification was.
- The middle box contains the header information that is associated with the cable. It includes information about the receiver(s) as well as a general subject.
- The bottom box presents the body of the cable. The opening can contain a more specific subject, references to other cables (<u>browse by origin</u> to find them) or additional comment. This is followed by the main contents of the cable: a summary, a collection of specific topics and a comment section.

To understand the justification used for the classification of each cable, please use this <u>WikiSource</u> article as reference.

## **Discussing cables**

If you find meaningful or important information in a cable, please link directly to its unique reference number. Linking to a specific paragraph in the body of a cable is also possible by copying the appropriate link (to be found at theparagraph symbol). Please mark messages for social networking services like Twitter with the hash tags #cablegate and a hash containing the reference ID e.g. #08ANKARA265.

Reference ID Created Released Classification Origin 08ANKARA265 2008-02-12 16:11 2011-08-30 01:44 CONFIDENTIAL Embassy Ankara Appears in these articles:

www.tanea.gr

VZCZCXYZ0011 PP RUEHWEB

DE RUEHAK #0265 0431611
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
P 121611Z FEB 08
FM AMEMBASSY ANKARA
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5209
INFO RUEHXP/ALL NATO POST COLLECTIVE PRIORITY
RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHINGTON DC PRIORITY
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
RUEHNO/USMISSION USNATO PRIORITY 6242

CONFIDENTIAL ANKARA 000265

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

PM/WRA FOR BAKER

E.O. 12958: DECL: 02/12/2018 TAGS: <u>PARM PREL NATO TU</u>

SUBJECT: TURKEY SHARES USG CONCERNS ABOUT OSLO PROCESS

**REF: STATE 13607** 

Classified By: Pol-Mil Counselor Carl Siebentritt, Reasons 1.4 (b) and (d)

- 11. (C) We delivered reftel demarche on February 11 to MFA Department Head for Arms Control and Disarmament Elif Ulgen, who is coordinating Turkey's interagency position on cluster munitions and was a member of the Turkish delegation to the Oslo Process meeting in Vienna on 5-7 December. Ulgen said that Turkey shares many of our concerns about the draft declaration. Turkey continues to view the Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (CCW) as the most appropriate framework to conduct negotiations on cluster munitions and to strike a balance between humanitarian concerns and military requirements. In particular, Turkey is concerned about the impact that the proposed convention would have on NATO interoperability. Ulgen further stated that although there exists a de facto moratorium on the use of cluster munitions by the Turkish armed forces, Turkey's military doctrine continues to call for the use of cluster munitions in the event of an "all out war."
- 12. (C) Despite having strong reservations about the draft declaration, Turkey will send a delegation to the Wellington meeting. Ulgen expected the Turkish delegation only to play an observer's role in Wellington, and stressed that Turkey's goal in participating in the meetings is to avoid being blindsided by the process.
- 13. (C) Ulgen noted that Turkey has been participating in a series of informal meetings taking place in Geneva (separate from but possibly related to the CCW negotiations chaired by Danish Ambassador Witgoski) among like-minded countries participating in the Oslo Process (including the UK, Germany, the Netherlands, Finland, Japan, and Australia). According to Ulgen, during the last Geneva meeting in January, participants were in wide agreement that the provision for a total ban on cluster munitions must be removed from the final declaration. Ulgen made clear that Turkey has no intention of signing the declaration as it currently stands.

Visit Ankara's Classified Web Site at http://www.intelink.sgov.gov/wiki/Portal:Turk ey

WILSON